

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/808,177	JARDIN, CARY A.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Fred I. Ehichioya.
- (2) Richard E. Campbell (Reg. No. 27,189).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) Shahid Alam.
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 25

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**SHAHID ALAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative (Richard E. Campbell) of a possible restriction on claims 1 - 25. The claims are restricted into Group I (Claims 1 - 7, 10 - 16 and 19 - 25) and Group II (claims 8 - 9 and 17 - 18). During a telephone conversation, Mr. Campbell elects group I without traverse for prosecution.